

LAW OFFICE OF  
LAVALLÉ D. PTAK  
28435 N. 42<sup>ND</sup> ST., STE. B  
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85268  
(480) 419-9019

1                    TRANSCRANIAL ELECTROSTIMULATION APPARATUS AND METHOD

2  
3                    BACKGROUND:

4                    Bio-electric stimulation apparatus has been developed for  
5                    applying current pulses to a patient through electrodes located on  
6                    opposite sides of the head of the patient. The current pulses at  
7                    selected frequencies are applied to cause reaction with the central  
8                    nervous system of the patient. Such devices, referred to as  
9                    transcranial electrostimulation (TCES) or cranial  
10                    electrostimulators (CES) have been used for a variety of non-  
11                    invasive procedures, such as producing analgesic effects, reducing  
12                    or controlling migraine headaches, and other applications of  
13                    treatment and electro-anesthesia.

14                    Earliest prototypes of transcranial electrostimulation devices  
15                    originated in Russia. These original designs, although  
16                    successfully employed for several different treatment modalities,  
17                    had a severe drawback with regard to the comfort of the wearer or  
18                    patient. In some cases, these earlier cranial electrostimulation  
19                    devices even subjected the wearer to pain. It has been discovered  
20                    that the reason for the discomfort of these earlier designs was a  
21                    result of the use of direct current as part of the overall  
22                    operation of the devices. The direct current was used to break  
23                    down or lower skin resistance to allow the treatment alternating  
24                    current signals to penetrate the brain and nervous systems to cause  
25                    the desired effect established by the placement of the electrodes

1 on the head of the patient.

2 In these earlier types of machines, the wearer received a  
3 combination of direct current and alternating current electrical  
4 waveform packages through a series of electrodes affixed to the  
5 head with straps. Typically, two electrodes comprising a cathode  
6 or negative pole of the DC based circuit would be placed  
7 approximately three inches apart to the left and right of the  
8 center of the forehead. Two other electrodes, comprising the anode  
9 of positive pole of the DC based circuit, were placed on the rear  
10 of the skull on the post mandibular area behind and below each ear.

11 With this DC current based design, the wearer was required to  
12 place a thick pad between any electrode and the skin. Typically,  
13 the pad was comprised of several layers of unbleached and uncolored  
14 cotton flannel, or an equivalent product. For best results, the  
15 fabric pads were soaked with water to provide a conductive path  
16 between the electrodes and the skin of the wearer. Without the  
17 presence of the pads (which were only required because of the  
18 presence of the DC current), such devices could either burn the  
19 skin of the wearer, or cause relatively intense pain before a  
20 usable level of the treatment modality of the currents at the AC  
21 frequency could be reached.

22 Although various types of treatment were employed by such  
23 earlier transcranial electrostimulation devices, the devices  
24 typically needed to be employed for an average time of thirty  
25 minutes per treatment period. Without the presence of the  
26

1 relatively thick cumbersome pads, the DC based design was unusable.  
2 With the presence of the thick padding, the DC design was bearable  
3 to the wearer, but rarely provided the wearer with a pleasant  
4 experience.

5 Three Russian patents which utilize such devices for different  
6 treatment methods comprise Russian patent Nos. 1489719; 1507404;  
7 and 1522500. In all of these patents, a combination of direct  
8 current and rectangular impulse current, with a frequency of  
9 between 70 and 80 Hertz, was employed at current amperages which  
10 were increased from a relatively low level to a higher or maximum  
11 level over the course of each treatment session.

12 An additional and potentially harmful drawback of the DC based  
13 designs was that of iontophoresis. . . A characteristic of a DC  
14 circuit application of this type is that molecular sized parts of  
15 metal, toxins and other undesirable impurities can be caused to  
16 migrate in the direction of current flow through the skin and into  
17 the bloodstream of the wearer of such DC based CES devices.  
18 Consequently, care had to be taken to ensure that no substance was  
19 present other than water used to create good electrical contact  
20 with the pad to the skin of the wearer. Since practically all CES  
21 treatment modalities require repeated treatments, the potential for  
22 iontophoresis being a harmful factor was escalated.

23 Transcranial electrostimulation (CES or TCES) originally was  
24 used in the 1960's to induce sleep. These early devices typically  
25 used less than 1.5 mA at 100 Hz. The United States patent to Liss  
26

No. 4,627,438 employed higher frequencies modulated by a lower frequency squarewave to produce recurring pulse bursts. The repetition frequency of the device of Liss is determined by the modulation frequency; but the pulse bursts are of a uniform amplitude within each repetition cycle. The device of the Liss patent is specifically directed to utilization in conjunction with the treatment of migraine headaches. The low frequency or modulating signal is asymmetrical, utilizing a 3:1 duty cycle, "on" three-fourths of the time and "off" one fourth of the recurring period. This results in bursts of the high frequency signal separated by the off time when no signal is applied, following the re-application of the bursts of the high frequency signal. Some patient discomfort may be present in such an "on/off" system operation over the period of time of application of the pulse during a treatment interval.

A number of other United States patents, all directed to dual frequency systems which utilize high frequency signals modulated by a low frequency modulation carrier, operating in the general nature of the device of the Liss patent No. 4,627,438, exist. Typical of these patents are the patents to Limoge No. 3,835,833; Nawracaj No. 4,071,033; Kastrubin No. 4,140,133; Morawetz No. 4,922,908 and Giordani No. 5,131,389. All of these patents employ a uniform amplitude high frequency signal, which is modulated at the lower frequency of the modulation carrier.

1 A variation on the systems of the patents discussed above is  
2 disclosed in the United States patent to Haimovich No. 5,540,736.  
3 The device of this patent employs two different current generators  
4 for providing electrical currents delivered to two electrode pairs  
5 operating across different portions of the head of the patient.  
6 This allows independent control of the current generators to  
7 administer independent regulated electrical current across each of  
8 the pairs to adjust for different impedances caused by the  
9 physiological and anatomical differences between different sides  
10 of a patient's mid brain portion, the quality of the conducting  
11 medium, and other factors. In all other respects, the system  
12 disclosed in this patent is similar to the operation of the system  
13 disclosed in the Liss patent discussed above.

14 Russian patent publication No. 2139111 is directed to a method  
15 for treating narcomania, which is a treatment also used in others  
16 of the CES patents described above for alcohol and narcotic  
17 addiction. In this patent, transcranial electrical stimulation is  
18 accomplished by means of packets of current with a duration of four  
19 milliseconds, at a modulation frequency of 100 Hz. Within each of  
20 the packets, the high frequency signals have a uniform frequency  
21 and current amplitude.

22 It is desirable to provide a transcranial electrostimulation  
23 apparatus and method which overcomes the disadvantages of the prior  
24 art, and which has increased effectiveness and increased user  
25 comfort.  
26

LAW OFFICE OF  
LAVALLE D. PTAK  
2843 N. 42<sup>ND</sup> ST., STE. B  
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85331  
(480) 419-9019

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION:

It is an object of this invention to provide an improved transcranial electrostimulation apparatus and method.

It is an additional object of this invention to provide an improved transcranial electrostimulation apparatus and method which does not employ direct current components.

It is another object of this invention to provide an improved transcranial electrostimulation apparatus and method employing only alternating current components.

It is a further object of this invention to provide an improved transcranial electrostimulation apparatus and method utilizing packets or groups of high frequency pulses which vary amplitude within each of the packets in a uniform manner and in which the packets are repeated at a lower modulation frequency for application to electrodes for effecting transcranial electrostimulation.

In accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention, a transcranial electrostimulation apparatus includes a first generator of bipolar pulses at a first predetermined frequency. A source of modulating control signals at a second frequency, which is less than the first predetermined frequency, is employed in conjunction with an amplitude control circuit receiving the pulses of the first predetermined frequency to produce bipolar pulses at the first predetermined frequency, which vary in amplitude in an

1 asymmetrical pattern at the frequency of the modulating control  
2 signals.

3  
4 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING:

5 Figure 1 is a diagrammatic drawing illustrating the overall  
6 principles of operation of the system in accordance with a  
7 preferred embodiment of the invention;

8 Figure 2 is a waveform of a typical signal pattern of a  
9 preferred embodiment of the invention; and

10 Figure 3 is a block diagram of a system for producing the  
11 signals shown in Figure 2.

12  
13 DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

14 Reference now should be made to the drawings which illustrate  
15 a preferred embodiment of the invention and its operation. Figure  
16 1 is a diagrammatic representation of the salient operating  
17 features of circuitry implementations which produce a unique triple  
18 waveform asymmetry useful for various transcranial  
19 electrostimulation applications. The unique waveform which is  
20 described in detail in conjunction with Figure 2 produces little to  
21 no discomfort to the user of the device.

22 As illustrated in Figure 1, the basic high frequency current  
23 signals are produced by a high frequency generator 10, which may  
24 employ a frequency control 12 and a pulse duration control 14 to  
25 establish the basic frequency and to provide the desired asymmetry  
26

1 between the positive and negative portions of each of the pulses  
2 produced by the generator 10. Typically, the generator 10 may  
3 include a crystal oscillator operating at 1,000 to 1,200 kHz, which  
4 then is divided down to the desired operating frequency of the  
5 alternating current pulses applied to the transcranial stimulation  
6 electrodes. Typically, the division ratio may be a 1:4 ratio to  
7 produce signals which then are modulated by a low frequency  
8 generator 16.

9 As illustrated in the diagrammatic representation of Figure 1,  
10 the output of the low frequency generator 16 may be established by  
11 means of a conventional frequency control 18, a pulse duration  
12 control 20, and a modulation depth control 22 to produce a  
13 composite modulated output signal at 24, which comprises the pulses  
14 from the output of the high frequency generator 10 modulated by the  
15 low frequency generator 16. The output 24 then is provided with an  
16 amplitude control 26 to establish the amplitude of the pulse train  
17 supplied through the system to a power amplifier 28. The current  
18 at the power amplifier 28 may be varied in accordance with the  
19 treatment modality to be used by the system; and this current is  
20 measured by an ammeter 34. The power amplifier 28 then supplies  
21 appropriate transcranial alternating current pulses to a pair, or  
22 multiple pairs, of electrode outputs, illustrated as a single pair  
23 30 and 32 in Figure 1.

24 The operation of a preferred embodiment of the invention, for  
25 producing a waveform having triple asymmetry in order to produce  
26



1 effective transcranial electrostimulation, now should be considered  
2 in conjunction with the waveform of Figure 2 and the block diagram  
3 of the system shown in Figure 3. The block diagram of the system,  
4 shown in Figure 3 is typical of a manner of implementation of the  
5 various circuit functions required to produce the waveform of  
6 Figure 2; but other arrangements for producing the signal waveform  
7 also may be utilized.

8 In Figure 3, a crystal oscillator 50 is employed to provide  
9 the basic alternating current operating signals utilized for both  
10 the high frequency pulses and the modulating pulses illustrated in  
11 Figure 1 as being produced by the high frequency generator 10 and  
12 the low frequency generator 16. Typically, the oscillator 50 may  
13 have an operating frequency in the order of 1,000 kHz to 1,200 kHz  
14 (although other frequencies may be used). The output of this  
15 oscillator is supplied to a divider 52, which may comprise multiple  
16 division stages, to produce the lower modulating frequency  
17 (illustrated in Figure 1 as being generated by the low frequency  
18 generator 16). The output signals from the oscillator 50 also are  
19 supplied through a divider 54 to produce the operating signal  
20 waveform shown as the squarewave signal in the waveform of Figure  
21 2, after being shaped by a pulse shaper 56, to achieve the  
22 generally squarewave configuration of Figure 2. In the example  
23 given, these pulses occur at an alternating current rate of 100  
24 kHz; although they could be at higher or lower frequencies in  
25 accordance with particular applications of the system.  
26

1 The pulses from the output of the divider 54 also are supplied  
2 to a counter 60, which may be of any suitable type such as a  
3 cascade counter or a ring counter, for producing outputs on leads  
4 64 and 66 utilized in controlling the amplitude of the pulses from  
5 the pulse shaper 56. The counter 60 is reset by the output of the  
6 divider 52, applied over the lead 62, to reset the counter for each  
7 cycle of operation of the divider 52. In the present example, the  
8 output of the divider 52 (comprising the low frequency modulation  
9 control signal) is selected to be 77.5 Hz, since this repetition  
10 frequency has been found to be highly effective in conjunction with  
11 transcranial electrostimulation devices. Repetitive frequencies  
12 which are in the range of 70 Hz to 85 Hz have been found to be  
13 effective, but a frequency of 77.5 Hz has been empirically  
14 ascertained as a general ideal operating frequency for producing  
15 the maximum efficacy of the system.

16 The modulating or reset frequency, applied over the lead 62,  
17 could as well be supplied by a second independent crystal  
18 oscillator, operating at a lower initial frequency than the  
19 oscillator 50, if desired. If two different signal sources are  
20 employed, synchronization between the two should be effected to  
21 cause the various pulse transitions of the signals to be correlated  
22 with one another in order to produce the signal waveform of Figure  
23 2. The system shown in Figure 3, however, is one effective way of  
24 accomplishing this.

25 Assume, for the present example, that the counter 60 has been  
26

1 reset to its initial or "zero" count. The system then operates to  
2 supply output pulses at the high frequency of the divided down  
3 signal from the divider 54 to the counter input, which advances one  
4 count for each of the applied pulses. In the waveform shown in  
5 Figure 2, the initial pulses (the first four in Figure 2) cause the  
6 counter outputs on 64 and 66 to be such that, as these outputs are  
7 applied to the amplitude control 68, a maximum amplitude (which may  
8 be adjusted if desired) is produced. This is illustrated in the  
9 left-hand portion of the waveform signal of Figure 2. When pulse  
10 No. 4 in the group or packet is applied, a signal is obtained from  
11 one or both of the outputs 64 and 66 of the counter 60 and applied  
12 to the amplitude control circuit 68 to switch it to a lower  
13 amplitude, as illustrated for the right-hand portion of the signal  
14 shown in Figure 2.

15 This causes the output of the amplitude control circuit 68 as  
16 applied to a regulator amplifier 58, to produce the signal  
17 waveforms in the asymmetrical pattern shown in Figure 2, wherein  
18 the left-hand one-fourth (42) of each of the signal bursts is at a  
19 high amplitude; and the right-hand portion (44) comprising the  
20 remainder of the pulses is at a lower amplitude. The ratio is such  
21 that one-fourth (the initial amplitude) is at the high amplitude  
22 range, and that the remainder three-fourths is at the low amplitude  
23 range. This is the first level of asymmetry of the applied  
24 signals.

25 The regulator amplifier 58 also operates on the squarewave  
26

1 shaped pulses from the pulse shaper 56 to cause a second asymmetry  
2 in the positive and negative going aspects of the signal. As shown  
3 in Figure 2, the negative going amplitude is one-fourth of the  
4 total excursion of the signal; and the positive going portion is  
5 three-fourths of the total excursion. This is true of both the  
6 maximum amplitude pulse 42 burst at the beginning of each of the  
7 burst groups or packets, and the lower amplitude portion 44 at the  
8 end of each of the burst groups or packets.

9 Finally, the third asymmetry is produced within the thirteen  
10 millisecond squarewave burst envelope illustrated as 40 in Figure 2.  
11 This is the result of the operation of the divider signal on the  
12 lead 62 comprising the reset operation for the counter 60.

13 The composite asymmetrical signal illustrated in Figure 2 then  
14 is provided by the output of the regular amplifier 58 to a power  
15 amplifier 70. The amplification may be adjusted to change the  
16 amount of current applied by the system (while maintaining the  
17 relative waveform shapes and patterns shown in Figure 2) in  
18 accordance with the treatment modality to be utilized by users of  
19 the system. The ammeter 74 is employed to measure the magnitude of  
20 the current supplied by the system. It may be a simple analog  
21 ammeter, or it may be a digital ammeter providing separate readings  
22 of the maximum amplitude and minimum amplitude portions of the  
23 signal which is shown in Figure 2.

24 The output of the amplifier 70 may be applied through a  
25 polarity switch 72 which allows the polarity of the signals applied  
26

1 to the spaced electrodes to be reversed, if desired. The polarity  
2 switch 72 supplies the signals across a pair of spaced output  
3 electrodes 76 and 78 which may in the form of pairs of split anodes  
4 and split cathodes, or which may be a single "anode" and "cathode"  
5 pair. Since no direct current components are present, the  
6 electrode paths connected to the outputs 76 and 78 are not really  
7 anodes and cathodes; but, depending upon the treatment which is  
8 being effected, it may be desirable to apply the positive going  
9 portions of the pulses to one or the other of these electrodes and  
10 the negative going portions to the other to achieve specific  
11 results.

12 It should be noted that in the system which is shown and  
13 described, there are no direct current components. It also should  
14 be noted that although the system essentially is illustrating 70  
15 kHz to 120 kHz tone bursts in each of the burst envelopes 40 shown  
16 in Figure 2, other frequencies could be employed. As noted, the  
17 77.5 Hz waveform, derived through the timing cycle, is used to  
18 complete each burst envelope including first pulses of a relatively  
19 high amplitude, followed by a series of pulses of a relatively low  
20 amplitude, in accordance with the signal pattern shown in Figure 2.

21 In the system which is disclosed, an individual squarewave  
22 pulse of 0.01 Ms is utilized with .0075 Ms in the negative portion  
23 of the pulse and .0025 Ms in the positive portion of each of the  
24 pulses. The general asymmetrical waveform which is described above  
25 in conjunction with Figure 2 has been found to be effective when it  
26

1 is centered around three-to-one ratios throughout the system  
2 operation. These ratios of course may be varied, in accordance  
3 with corresponding variations of other ratios of the system; but it  
4 has been found that the asymmetrical relationship which is  
5 disclosed replaces the formerly necessary, but unpleasant, DC  
6 portion of the operating protocol of earlier systems.

7 The DC current employed in some of the prior art devices was  
8 designed to provide a path penetrating the natural capacitive  
9 resistance of human skin. The DC current reduced the resistance to  
10 approximately 300 to 400 Ohms. The cost, however, was a high level  
11 of discomfort for the user of the device. It has been found that  
12 the utilization of the unique asymmetrical signal produced by the  
13 system shown in Figure 3 and illustrated in the waveform of Figure  
14 2 effectively lowers the capacitive resistance of the epidermal  
15 layer to something on the order of 100 Ohms. Since less resistance  
16 is presented to the integrated 77.5 Hz modulating frequency, lower  
17 current levels are capable of achieving the same desired result  
18 which previously required much higher current levels. The lower  
19 current levels translate into a greater level of comfort for the  
20 patient or user of the device.

21 The foregoing description of the preferred embodiment of the  
22 invention is to be considered as illustrative and not as limiting.  
23 Various changes and modifications will occur to those skilled in  
24 the art for performing substantially the same function, in  
25 substantially the same way, to achieve substantially the same  
26

1 result without departing from the true scope of the invention as  
2 defined in the appended claims.

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

LAW OFFICE OF  
LaVALLE D. PTAK  
28435 N. 42ND ST. STE. B  
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85251  
(480) 419-9019